

I had talked about some information in the World-Herald, the source of which was the Nebraska Department of Revenue to show a comparison. If, and I'm going to talk not about the amount of taxes that would be paid in Douglas County, but the average statewide because it would be lower, that average, than the amount in Douglas County. The Mercedes-Benz owner the first year would pay \$999 under the current system. With Senator Conway's bill that would drop to \$285. The person buying the Thunderbird would pay under the current system, \$378. Under Senator Conway's bill, \$250, but the difference between what the Thunderbird owner pays and the Mercedes-Benz owner pays is \$35. The Mercedes-Benz owner pays only \$35 more in taxes than the Thunderbird owner. When we get down to nine years of age for the cars the state average for the Mercedes would be \$160. Under Senator Conway's bill that would be cut in half. They would only pay \$80. For the Thunderbird owner the current that he or she would pay after nine years is \$40. Under Senator Conway's bill it almost doubles to \$70. So the Mercedes owner is cut in half and what he or she would pay in actual dollars and it would almost double for the Thunderbird owner and the actual dollar difference is that the Mercedes owner would be paying \$80 and the Thunderbird owner would be paying \$70. If this system were in place, under the current system \$114 million a year is raised. Senator Conway's bill would raise \$25 million more. So if from the figures that we get from the Department of Revenue we see that those with the luxury cars and the new cars are going to pay less but the system is going to produce \$25 million more than as the ones with the luxury cars pay less. Their side of the teeter totter goes down, what happens to the side of the teeter totter that we are on, it goes up. For every action there is an opposite and equal reaction except under Senator Conway's bill because there is a greater benefit to the wealthy or those buying the luxury cars than there is to those of us who have to make do the best we can in these other cars. I hope that you will vote to indefinitely postpone this bill. If you're going to support the bill, should we not kill it? Then I would say don't vote for the kill motion. But if you're not going to support the bill there is no need in prolonging the agony, but if that is what the body chooses to do, that's what the body will do. So this, to my way of thinking, is indeed an up or down vote on the bill.

PRESIDENT MOUL: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: If you're not going to support it, then I